

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 25 2019


U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS
FOURTH CIRCUIT

In the Matter of the Review of the *
Amendments to the Employment * No. 391
Dispute Resolution Plan of the *
United States District Court for the *
Western District of North Carolina *

ORDER

The Plan of the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, as amended, which is attached to and made a part of this Order, is hereby approved by the Judicial Council of the Fourth Circuit, and it is so ORDERED.

FOR THE COURT:


James N. Ishida, Secretary

Date: March 25, 2019

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 18, 2019

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA**



EMPLOYMENT DISPUTE RESOLUTION (“EDR”) PLAN

CHAPTER I – GENERAL PROVISIONS

§ 1 Preamble

This Plan shall be known as the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina’s Employment Dispute Resolution Plan (“WDNC EDR Plan” or “Plan”). The WDNC EDR Plan is modeled after the Model Employment Dispute Resolution Plan (“Model EDR Plan”) that was adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States in March 2010, and amended in September 2018, in order to provide rights and protections to employees of the United States courts that are comparable to those provided to legislative branch employees under the Congressional Accountability Act of 1995.

This Plan supersedes all previous versions of the Employment Dispute Resolution Plan for the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. Any modification of this Plan must be approved by the Judicial Council of the Fourth Circuit.

As set forth in the Model EDR Plan, courts and employing offices shall post their plans on their respective internal and external websites. A copy of each plan and any subsequent modifications shall be filed with the Administrative Office. The court shall annually submit a report on the implementation of its plan to the Administrative Office for inclusion in the Director’s Annual Report to the Judicial Conference.

Policies adopted by an individual employing office pertaining to adverse action or general grievance proceedings that do not invoke the rights and protections afforded under the WDNC EDR Plan are not affected by the Plan. Further, local policies relating to rights enumerated under the Plan that are not inconsistent with the rights and procedures established herein will not be affected by the Plan.

The WDNC EDR Plan is not intended to duplicate the protections provided for the resolution of complaints of judicial officer misconduct or disability under [28 U.S.C. §§ 351, et seq.](#) and otherwise is intended to be the exclusive remedy of the employee relating to rights enumerated under the Plan.

§ 2 Scope of coverage

The WDNC EDR Plan applies to all judges of the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, including Article III District Judges, Magistrate Judges, and Bankruptcy Judges. The Plan also applies to all employees, as that term is defined below in Section 3 of this Chapter, of (i) the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, (ii) the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina, and (iii) the United States Probation Office for the Western District of North Carolina.

§ 3 Definitions

For purposes of this Plan:

- A. The term “claim” means the filing of a request for counseling as set forth in Chapter X, which may be further pursued by the filing of a request for mediation and a request for hearing.
- B. The term “employee” includes all individuals listed in Section 2 of this Chapter, all members of a judge’s chambers staff, all court unit heads and members of their staff, paid or unpaid interns and externs, as well as applicants for employment and former employees, except as provided below. The term “employee” does not include applicants for bankruptcy judge or magistrate judge positions, volunteer counselors or mediators, or other individuals who are not employees of an “employing office” as that term is defined below.
- C. The term “employing office” includes all offices of (i) the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, (ii) the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina, and (iii) the United States Probation Office for the Western District of North Carolina, including the district court executives, clerks of court, chief probation officers, and staff attorneys. The court is the employing office of a judicial officer’s chambers staff. For purposes of the WDNC EDR Plan, the term “employing office” does not include the Federal Public Defender’s Office for the Western District of North Carolina or the Bankruptcy Administrative Office for the Western District of North Carolina, as those offices and their staff members are governed by the corresponding EDR Plan adopted by the Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

- D. The term “judicial officer” means a judge appointed under Article III of the Constitution, a United States bankruptcy judge, or a United States magistrate judge.
- E. The term “court” refers to the appropriate court (district or bankruptcy) in which is located the employing office which would be responsible for redressing, correcting or abating the violation alleged in the complaint.

CHAPTER II – EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
AND ANTI-DISCRIMINATION RIGHTS

§ 1 General – Discrimination against employees based on race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy and sexual harassment), national origin, age (at least 40 years of age at the time of the alleged discrimination), and disability is prohibited. Harassment against an employee based upon any of these protected categories or retaliation for engaging in any protected activity is prohibited. All of the above constitute “wrongful conduct.” The rights and protections of Sections I through VII of the Judiciary’s Model Equal Employment Opportunity Plan shall also apply to employees.

§ 2 Definition – The term “disability” means:

- A. a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of an employee,
- B. a record of such an impairment, or
- C. being regarded as having such an impairment.

See [42 U.S.C. § 12102\(1\)](#).

§ 3 Special provision for probation and pretrial services officers – The age discrimination provision of Section I of this Chapter shall not apply to the initial hiring or mandatory separation of probation and pretrial services officers and officer assistants. See Report of the Proceedings of the Judicial Conference of the United States (March 1991), pp. 16-17. Additionally, probation and pretrial services officers must meet all fitness for duty standards, and compliance with such standards does not, in and of itself, constitute discrimination on the basis of disability.

CHAPTER III – FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE RIGHTS

- § 1 **General** – Title II of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, [5 U.S.C. §§ 6381 et. seq.](#), applies to court employees in the manner prescribed in [Guide to Judiciary Policy, Volume 12, § 920.45](#).

CHAPTER IV – WORKER ADJUSTMENT
AND RETRAINING NOTIFICATION RIGHTS

- § 1 **General** – No “employing office closing” or “mass layoff” (as defined in Section 2 of this Chapter) may occur until the end of a 60-day period after the employing office serves written notice of such prospective closing or layoff to employees who will be affected. This provision shall not apply to an employing office closing or mass layoff which results from the absence of appropriated funds.

§ 2 **Definitions**

- A. The term “employing office closing” means the permanent or temporary shutdown of a single site of employment if the shutdown results in an employment loss at the single site of employment during any 30-day period for 50 or more employees excluding any part-time employees.
- B. The term “mass layoff” means a reduction in force which:
1. is not the result of an employing office closing; and
 2. results in an employment loss at the single site of employment during any 30-day period for
 - a. at least 33 percent of the employees (excluding any part-time employees); and at least 50 employees (excluding any part-time employees); or
 - b. at least 500 employees (excluding any part-time employees).

See [29 U.S.C. § 2101](#).

CHAPTER V – EMPLOYMENT AND REEMPLOYMENT RIGHTS
OF MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES

- § 1 **General** – An employing office shall not discriminate against an eligible employee or deny an eligible employee reemployment rights or benefits under the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act, [38 U.S.C. §§ 4301 et seq.](#)

CHAPTER VI – OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY
AND HEALTH PROTECTIONS

- § 1 **General** – Each employing office shall provide to its employees a place of employment which is free from recognized hazards that cause or are likely to cause death or serious physical harm to employees. Claims that seek a remedy that is exclusively within the jurisdiction of the General Services Administration (“GSA”) or the United States Postal Service (“USPS”) are not cognizable under this Plan; such requests should be filed directly with GSA or the USPS as appropriate.
- § 2 **Court program requirements** – The court shall implement a program to achieve the protections set forth in Section 1 of this Chapter. See Appendix C.

CHAPTER VII – POLYGRAPH TESTS

- § 1 **General** – Unless required for access to classified information, or otherwise required by law, no employee may be required to take a polygraph test.

CHAPTER VIII – WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION

- § 1 **General** – Any employee who has authority to take, direct others to take, recommend, or approve any personnel action shall not, with respect to such authority, take or threaten to take an adverse employment action with respect to an employee (excluding applicants for employment) because of any disclosure of information to –

- A. the appropriate federal law enforcement authority, or
- B. a supervisor or managerial official of the employing office, a judicial officer of the court, or the Administrative Office of the United States Courts,

by the latter employee, which that employee reasonably and in good faith believes evidences a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or other conduct that constitutes gross mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, provided that such disclosure of information –

1. is not specifically prohibited by law,
2. does not reveal case-sensitive information, sealed material, or the deliberative processes of the federal judiciary (as outlined in [Guide to Judiciary Policy, Vol. 20, Ch. 8](#)), and
3. does not reveal information that would endanger the security of any federal judicial officer.

§ 2 Definition – For purposes of this Chapter, an “adverse employment action” means a termination, demotion, transfer, or reassignment; loss of pay, benefits, or awards; or any other employment action that is materially adverse to the employee’s job status, compensation, terms, or responsibilities, or the employee’s working conditions.

CHAPTER IX – REPORTS OF WRONGFUL CONDUCT

A report of wrongful conduct is not the same as initiating or filing a claim under this Plan; thus, employees who wish to file an EDR claim relating to any alleged wrongful conduct as defined in this Plan must follow the procedures set forth in Chapter X of this Plan.

Judges and employees are encouraged to report wrongful conduct to the court’s EDR Coordinator, the chief judge, the unit executive, the human resources manager, and/or their supervisor as soon as possible, before it becomes severe or pervasive. Retaliation against any employee making a report of wrongful conduct is prohibited. The person receiving such a report has the responsibility to notify the EDR Coordinator as soon as possible.

The EDR Coordinator shall promptly inform the chief judge and respective unit executive of any report of wrongful conduct. The chief judge and/or unit executive shall ensure that the allegations in the report are appropriately investigated, either by the human resources manager or other appropriate person.

All individuals involved in the investigation shall protect the confidentiality of the allegations of wrongful conduct to the extent possible. Information and records about the allegations shall be shared on a need-to-know basis.

Employees found by the chief judge and/or unit executive to have engaged in wrongful conduct, as defined in this Plan, may be subject to disciplinary action.

CHAPTER X – DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURES

§ 1 General procedure for consideration of alleged violations – An employee who claims a denial of the rights granted under Chapters II through VIII of this Plan shall seek resolution of such claims through the procedures of this Chapter. Generally, the process consists of:

- A.** counseling and mediation;
- B.** hearing before the chief judge of the court (or a designated judicial officer) in which the alleged violation arises; and

C. review of the hearing decision under procedures established by the judicial council of the circuit.

§ 2 Alleged Violation by Employee – Before invoking a request for counseling, an employee (to the extent feasible) is encouraged to bring his or her concerns to his or her supervisor or unit executive, unless the supervisor or unit executive is the alleged violator. In such a situation, the court or employing office should specify alternative neutral points of contact for the initial inquiry. An employee alleging that any of the rights granted under the Model EEO Plan or this Plan have been violated, and who seeks relief under this Plan, must file a request for counseling with their court’s EDR Coordinator in accordance with Section 8 of this Chapter.

§ 3 Alleged Violation by Judge – Any employee alleging that a judge violated any rights granted under the Model EEO Plan or this Plan may file an EDR claim in accordance with this Plan. In such an instance, however, all claims procedures of this Chapter shall be performed by the circuit council, either by members of the council directly or by persons designated to act on its behalf, which may include the chief judge of the circuit. If a judge becomes the subject of both an EDR claim and a judicial misconduct complaint under the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act, [28 U.S.C. §§ 351-364](#), the circuit judicial council or its designee, which may include the chief judge of the circuit, will craft a procedure for determining any common issues of fact and processing both complaints, subject to all requirements of the Act, the Rules for Judicial Conduct and Judicial Disability Proceedings, and, as practicable, the EDR Plan. In so doing, the council or its designee, which may include the chief judge of the circuit, may determine that all or part of the EDR claim must be abated until action is taken on the judicial misconduct complaint.

§ 4 Confidentiality – The court or employing office shall protect the confidentiality of allegations filed under this Plan to the extent possible. However, information about allegations filed under this Plan shall be shared on a need-to-know basis. Records relating to violations under this Plan shall be kept confidential on the same basis.

§ 5 General provisions and protections

A. Prohibition against retaliation – Claimants under this Plan have the right to be free from retaliation because of filing a claim pursuant to this Plan. Likewise, any person who participates in the filing or processing of a claim, such as an employment dispute resolution coordinator, mediator, witness, representative, or co-worker, is also entitled to freedom from retaliation.

B. Right to representation – Every individual invoking the dispute resolution procedures of this Plan has the right to be represented by a person of his or her choice if such person is available and consents to be a representative. A court employee may accept the responsibilities of representation if it will not unduly interfere with his or her court duties or

constitute a conflict of interest, as determined by the representative's appointing officer.

- C. Case preparation** – To the extent feasible, every individual invoking the dispute resolution procedures of this Plan may use a reasonable amount of official time to prepare his or her case, so long as it does not unduly interfere with the performance of his or her court duties.
- D. Extensions of time** – The chief judge of the court, or other presiding judicial officer, may extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Chapter for good cause.
- E. Dismissal of claim** – On his or her own initiative or at the request of any party, the chief judge or presiding judicial officer may, at any time in the proceedings, dismiss a claim on the grounds that it (i) does not invoke violations of the rights or protections granted under the Model EEO Plan or this Plan, (ii) is untimely, (iii) is unduly repetitive of a previous claim, adverse action, or grievance, (iv) is frivolous, or (v) fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- F. Records** – At the conclusion of formal and informal proceedings under this Plan, all papers, files, and reports will be filed with the court's Employment Dispute Resolution Coordinator ("EDR Coordinator"). No papers, files, or reports relating to a dispute will be filed in any employee's personnel folder, except as necessary to implement an official personnel action.

§ 6 Designation and duties of employment dispute resolution coordinator – Each court shall designate a person to serve as the EDR Coordinator. Courts may designate more than one EDR Coordinator. The duties of such persons shall include the following:

- A.** to provide information to the court and employees regarding the rights and protections afforded under this Plan;
- B.** to coordinate and organize the procedures and establish and maintain official files of the court pertaining to claims and other matters initiated and processed under this Plan;
- C.** to coordinate the counseling of individuals in the initial stages of the claims process, in accordance with Section 8 of this Chapter; and

- D. to collect, analyze, and consolidate statistical data and other information pertaining to the court's employment dispute resolution process.

§ 7 General disqualification provision – Each court and judicial council shall make available procedures through which a party may seek the disqualification of a judicial officer, employee or other person involved in a dispute under this Chapter. See Appendix B.

§ 8 Counseling

A. Initiating a proceeding; formal request for counseling – An employee who believes that his or her rights under Chapters II through VIII of this Plan have been violated must first request counseling.

B. Form and manner of requests – Requests for counseling:

1. are to be submitted to the court's EDR Coordinator;
2. must be made in writing and contain all the violations asserted by the claimant; and
3. must be made within 180 days of the alleged violation or within 180 days of the time the employee becomes aware of the alleged violation.

C. Procedures

1. **Who may serve as counselor** – The counseling shall be conducted by the court's EDR Coordinator, unless the EDR Coordinator is disqualified from serving as counselor under Section 7 of this Chapter, or is otherwise unavailable. In such instances, the chief judge of the court shall designate another qualified individual to perform the counseling function. The EDR Coordinator shall promptly provide a copy of the request for counseling to the unit executive and the chief judge of the court.
2. **Purposes of counseling** – The purposes of the counseling shall be to discuss the employee's concerns and elicit information regarding the matter which the employee believes constitutes a violation; to advise the employee of his or her rights and responsibilities and the procedures of the court applicable to the employment dispute resolution process; to evaluate the matter and conduct a preliminary investigation of the allegations; and to assist the employee in achieving an early resolution of the matter, if possible.

- 3. Purpose of preliminary investigation** – The counselor shall investigate the facts alleged by the employee, apply the standards articulated in this Plan to the facts, and prepare a report summarizing the factual findings, conclusions of law, if any, and recommended remedies. The investigation should include contact with witnesses identified by the employee, as well as any respondents, in accordance with the Confidentiality Section of this Plan. The counselor may recommend dismissal of the matter, specifying the reasons therefore in the report, if it is determined that (i) the claim is untimely, (ii) the allegations are not supported by sufficient evidence, (iii) the allegations or facts fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, (iv) the allegations are frivolous or vexatious, or (v) the allegations are unduly repetitive of a previous claim, adverse action, or grievance. If the counselor finds merit to the allegations, the report shall set forth the nature of the violation, the evidence on which the finding is based, and recommendations for an appropriate remedy consistent with this Plan. The counselor shall provide a copy of the report to the complaining employee, the employee’s representative, if any, the unit executive for the employing office, and the chief judge of the court.
 - 4. Confidentiality** – The court or employing office shall protect the confidentiality of allegations filed under this Plan to the extent possible. However, information about allegations filed under this Plan shall be shared on a need-to-know basis. Records relating to violations under this Plan shall be kept confidential on the same basis.
 - 5. Form of settlement** – The EDR Coordinator shall reduce to writing any settlement achieved during the counseling process and secure the signatures of the employee, his or her representative, if any, and the member of the employing office who is authorized to enter into settlement on the employing office’s behalf.
 - 6. Finality of preliminary investigation report** – Absent a settlement, the counselor’s report and proposed resolution shall become final 15 days after receipt by the employee, unless a party files a timely request for mediation. Once a report becomes final, all involved parties shall cooperate in the prompt implementation of any remedy specified in the counselor’s report.
- D. Duration of counseling period** – The period for counseling shall be 30 days (or a shorter period if counseling is concluded at an earlier date), beginning on the date that the request for counseling is received by the EDR Coordinator.

- E. **Conclusion of the counseling period and notice** – The EDR Coordinator shall notify the employee in writing of the end of the counseling period. As part of the notice, the EDR Coordinator shall inform the employee of the right and obligation, should the employee choose to pursue his or her claim, to file with the EDR Coordinator a request for mediation in accordance with Section 9 of this Chapter.

§ 9 Mediation

- A. **Initiation** – Within 15 days after receipt by the employee of the notice of the conclusion of the counseling period, the employee may file with the EDR Coordinator a request for mediation. The request must be made in writing and must state the claim(s) presented. The EDR Coordinator shall promptly provide a copy of the request for mediation to the unit executive for the employing office and the chief judge of the court. Failure to pursue mediation will preclude further processing of the employee's claim under any other provisions of this Chapter.

B. Procedures

1. **Designation of mediator** – As soon as possible after receiving the request for mediation, the chief judge or EDR Coordinator shall designate a mediator and provide written notice of such designation.
2. **Who may serve as mediator** – Any person with the skills to assist in resolving disputes, except the court's EDR Coordinator, may serve as a mediator under this Plan.
3. **Purpose of mediation** – The mediator shall meet separately and/or jointly with the employee and his or her representative, if any, and the employing office to discuss alternatives for resolving a dispute, including any and all possibilities of reaching a voluntary, mutually satisfactory resolution.
4. **Confidentiality** – Any person or party involved in the mediation process shall not disclose, in whole or in part, any information or records obtained through, or prepared specifically for, the mediation process, except as necessary to consult with the parties or their representatives, and then only with notice to all parties.
5. **Form of settlement** – The mediator shall reduce to writing any settlement achieved during the mediation process and secure the signature of the employee, his or her representative, if any, and the member of the employing office who is authorized to enter into settlement on the employing office's behalf.

- C. Duration of mediation period** – The mediation period shall be 30 days (or a shorter period if mediation is concluded at an earlier date), beginning on the date the request for mediation is received. The employee is required to attend at least one mediation session. Thereafter, he or she may proceed to file a request for hearing.
- D. Conclusion of mediation period and notice** – If, at the end of the mediation period, the parties have not resolved the matter that forms the basis of the request for mediation, the EDR Coordinator shall provide the employee, the employee’s representative, if any, and the employing office with written notice that the mediation period has concluded. The notice shall also inform the employee of his or her right to file a complaint under Section 10 of this Chapter.

§ 10 Complaint and hearing

- A. Complaint** – Not later than 15 days after receiving notice of the end of the mediation period, an employee may file a complaint under procedures established by the court. The complaint shall be in writing, shall identify the complainant and all involved parties and individuals, and shall set forth a short and plain statement of the complainant’s claim and the relief or remedy being sought. Claims that were not presented in §9(A) may not be pursued. The respondent shall be the employing office which would be responsible for redressing, correcting or abating the violation(s) alleged in the complaint. No individual shall be named as a respondent in the complaint. The complaint form is found at Appendix A.
- B. Hearing procedures**

 - 1. Presiding judicial officer** – If the chief judge or presiding judicial officer does not dismiss the complaint, the chief judge or presiding judicial officer shall hold a hearing on the merits of the complaint unless he or she determines that no material factual dispute exists.
 - 2. Specific provisions** – The presiding judicial officer may provide for such discovery and investigation as is necessary. In general, the presiding judicial officer shall determine the time, place, and manner of conducting the hearing. However, the following specific provisions shall apply to hearings conducted under this Section:

 - a. the hearing shall be commenced no later than 60 days after the filing of the complaint;
 - b. the complainant and the head of the office against which the complaint has been filed must receive written notice of the

hearing; such notice shall also be provided to the individual alleged to have violated rights protected by this Plan;

- c. at the hearing, the complainant will have the right to representation, to present evidence on his or her behalf, and to cross-examine adverse witnesses; the employing office will have the right to present evidence on its behalf and to cross-examine adverse witnesses;
- d. a verbatim record of the hearing must be kept and shall be the sole official record of the proceeding;
- e. in reaching his or her decision, the chief judge or presiding judicial officer shall be guided by judicial and administrative decisions under the laws related to Chapters II through VIII of this Plan and by decisions of the judicial council of the appropriate circuit under Section 11 of this Chapter;
- f. remedies may be provided in accordance with Section 12 of this Chapter where the hearing officer finds that the complainant has established by a preponderance of the evidence that a substantive right protected by this Plan has been violated;
- g. the final decision of the chief judge or presiding judicial officer must be issued in writing not later than 30 days after the conclusion of the hearing; and
- h. all parties, or any aggrieved individual, shall have the right to written notice of any action taken as a result of a hearing.

§ 11 Review of decision – A party or individual aggrieved by a final decision of the chief judge or presiding judicial officer, or by a summary dismissal of the complaint, may petition for review of that decision under procedures established by the judicial council of the circuit. Any review will be conducted by a judicial officer(s), based on the record created by the hearing officer, and shall be affirmed if supported by substantial evidence.

§ 12 Remedies

- A. Where judicial officers acting pursuant to section 10 or 11 of this Chapter find that a substantive right protected by this Plan has been violated, they may order a necessary and appropriate remedy. A remedy may be directed at correcting a past violation, prospectively ensuring compliance with the rights protected by this Plan, or both. A remedy shall be tailored as closely as possible to the specific violation

involved.

B. Remedies which may be provided to successful complainants under this Plan include, but are not limited to:

1. placement of an employee in a position previously denied;
2. placement in a comparable alternative position;
3. reinstatement to a position from which the employee was previously removed;
4. prospective promotion to a position;
5. priority consideration for a future promotion or position;
6. back pay and associated benefits, including attorney's fees, where the statutory criteria of the Back Pay Act, [5 U.S.C. § 5596](#), are satisfied;
7. records modification and/or expungement;
8. "equitable" relief, such as temporary stays of adverse actions;
9. granting of family and medical leave; and
10. accommodation of disabilities through the purchase of specialized equipment or the restructuring of duties and work hours, or other appropriate means.

C. Remedies which are *not* legally available include:

1. payment of attorney's fees (except as authorized under the Back Pay Act);
2. compensatory damages; and
3. punitive damages.

§ 13 Record of final decisions – Final decisions under this Plan shall be made available to the public in accordance with procedures established by the judicial council of the circuit.

XVI. Effective Date

This Plan will become effective when approved by the Judicial Council of the Fourth Circuit.

ENTER FOR THE COURT ON January 18, 2019.


CHIEF JUDGE, DISTRICT COURT

APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE FOURTH CIRCUIT ON

_____.

CHIEF JUDGE, COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN RE: Adoption of Amended Employment Dispute
Resolution Plan

FILED
STATESVILLE, NC
JAN 18 2019

U.S. District Court
Western District of N.C.

Order: 3:98-MC-74

This matter is before the Court on its own motion to establish the Employment Dispute Resolution Plan Coordinator for the Western District of North Carolina.


NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

The Model Employment Dispute Resolution Plan (Plan) adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States in March 1997, and as amended March 16, 2010, and September 2018, by the Judicial Conference is hereby adopted by this Court with some revisions. This Order also designates the Employment Dispute Resolution Coordinator for the Western District of North Carolina.


- (1) Ms. Erica Petri, Staff Attorney for the U.S. District Court, is designated as the Employment Dispute Resolution Coordinator for the United States District Court, Western District of North Carolina.
- (2) Ms. Erica Petri, Staff Attorney for the U.S. District Court, is designated as the Employment Dispute Resolution Coordinator for the United States Probation and Pretrial Office of the Western District of North Carolina.
- (3) Ms. Erica Petri, Staff Attorney for the U.S. District Court, is designated as the Employment Dispute Resolution Coordinator for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina.


It is further ORDERED that Mr. Frank Johns, Clerk of the U.S. District Court shall submit a copy of this Order to the Chief, Fair Employment Practices, Administrative Office of the United States Courts and to Mr. James Ishida, Circuit Executive for the Fourth Circuit.


This 18th day of January 2019.



Robert J. Conrad, Jr.
U.S. District Judge


Frank D. Whitney, Chief
U.S. District Judge


Graham C. Mullen, Senior
U.S. District Judge,


J. Craig Whitley
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge


Richard L. Voorhees, Senior
U.S. District Judge


Martin Reiding
U.S. District Judge


Max O. Cogburn
U.S. District Judge


Laura Turner Beyer, Chief
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

APPENDIX A

COMPLAINT FORM

12. What remedy or corrective action do you seek from your complaint? _____

13. Do you have an attorney or any other person who represents you in this matter? YES NO (Please circle)

If yes, please provide the following information concerning that individual:

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

Email: _____

I hereby affirm that the information provided in this complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of Complainant

Date

APPENDIX B

**DISQUALIFICATION
PROCEDURES**

**DISQUALIFICATION PROCEDURES FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
DISTRICT COURT AND
PROBATION OFFICE**
[Revised January 18, 2019]

Any party may seek the disqualification of a judicial officer, employee, the EDR Coordinator or other person involved in a dispute under Chapter X of the Plan.

All requests for disqualification shall be filed in writing with the chief judge and specifically state the reasons for the disqualification.

In the event a request for disqualification includes the chief judge, then the request shall be filed with the active district court judge with the most seniority.

In the event a request for disqualification includes all of the active Article III judges, the request for disqualification shall be filed with the magistrate judge with the most seniority. If the request for disqualification includes all active Article III judges and the magistrate judge with the most seniority, then the request shall be filed with the next magistrate judge with the most seniority.

In the event a request for disqualification includes all of the active Article III judges and all of the magistrate judges, then the request for disqualification shall be filed with the senior judge of the court with the most seniority.

**DISQUALIFICATION PROCEDURES FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
BANKRUPTCY COURT**

Any party may seek the disqualification of a judicial officer, employee, the EDR Coordinator or other person involved in a dispute under Chapter X of the Plan.

All requests for disqualification shall be filed in writing with the chief bankruptcy judge and specifically state the reasons for the disqualification.

In the event a request for disqualification includes the chief bankruptcy judge, then the request shall be filed with the active Article I judge with the most seniority.

In the event a request for disqualification includes all of the active Article I judges, the request for disqualification shall be filed with the recalled Article I judge with the most seniority.

In the event a request for disqualification includes all active and recalled Article I judges, the request for disqualification shall be filed with the chief judge of the district court.

APPENDIX C

HEALTH AND SAFETY PROGRAM

HEALTH AND SAFETY PROGRAM
[Revised January 2019]

Each facility shall have an employee who is the initial point of contact for all employees to contact for any occupational safety and health issues under Chapter VI of the Plan.

The initial point of contact for each of our facilities are identified below:

	District Court	Probation Office	Bankruptcy Court
Charlotte Facility	Clerk of Court	Chief Probation Officer	Clerk of Court
Asheville Facility	Deputy in Charge	Deputy or Assistant Chief Probation Officer	Clerk of Court
Statesville Facility	Deputy in Charge	Deputy or Assistant Chief Probation Officer	Clerk of Court
Hickory Facility	N/A	Deputy or Assistant Chief Probation Officer	N/A

These individuals are responsible for taking immediate and appropriate action in all occupational safety and health protection matters including but not limited to:

- a. Vacating and/or temporarily closing an office;
- b. Contacting the General Services Administration or the United States Postal Service;
- c. Approving a temporary change in the hours of work or the location in which work is performed.

The individuals identified as the point of contact for the facilities will also assist with implementing additional protections that will ensure a workplace free from recognized health and safety hazards in conjunction with a program established by the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts.